



Full NPSTC Meeting | Washington, DC

Thursday, September 29, 2016 Call In: (510) 227-1018 | Conference ID: 192 7086 Webinar Access Information : <u>https://join.me/NPSTCsupport1</u> Submit Questions Online Send email to support@npstc.org

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.



• Reconvene – Ralph Haller, NPSTC Chair





Federal Partners Update

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.



- Federal Communications Commission (FCC) David Furth, Deputy Bureau Chief, Policy and Licensing Division, Public Safety Homeland Security Bureau (PSHSB)
- Federal Partners for Interoperability Communication (FPIC) – Jim Downs LMR Standards and Security Coordinator, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC)

Federal Partnership for Interoperable Communications



Interoperable Communications

National Public Safety Telecommunications Committee Meeting September 29, 2016 FPIC UPDATE



Federal Partnership for Interoperable Communications FPIC

- The FPIC serves as a coordination and advisory body to address technical and operational wireless issues relative to interoperability within the public safety emergency communications community, interfacing with voluntary representatives from F/S/L/T/T
- The FPIC has an established working relationship with the ECPC, the NCSWIC EC, and the SAFECOM-NCSWIC Technology Policy Committee as a technical advisory resource
- The FPIC includes more than 200 federal, state, local, tribal and territorial public safety representatives from over 45 federal agencies, as well as representatives from state, tribal, territorial and local entities, focusing on improving interoperability among the public safety community at all levels of government and addressing common public safety related communications issues
- Address topics and questions concerning Interoperable Communications, Security Services, Spectrum (related to interoperability), and Standards



Topics for Today - FPIC Current Activities

- LMR Sustainment, Interoperability, and Cooperative Partnerships
- Spectrum Activities
- Encryption Activities



Importance of LMR Sustainment

- The sustainment of resources and operational capability supporting LMR is vital to public safety mission-critical communications
- It is important that government leaders and public safety managers recognize sustained funding is critical to keep LMR systems functional
- The FPIC, in coordination with OEC, is working closely with the NCSWIC to address LMR issues at all levels of public safety that include technology, funding, governance and others



Cooperative Partnerships

- Reduced Federal and State/Local budgets force agencies to seek opportunities to achieve cost effective solutions and operational efficiencies by securing partnerships with statewide and regional public safety systems
 - Enhanced coverage
 - Better interoperability with state and local agencies
 - Typically provides a multi-vendor environment for standards compliant equipment
 - Resource sharing
- The partnership in Wyoming is a prime example of how the FPIC has supported cooperative opportunities resulting in enhanced communications for all concerned. Other partnerships include Alaska, Connecticut, Missouri, South Carolina, and Washington
- The FPIC has promoted these initiatives for many years and is embarking on a new initiative with the NCSWIC to identify assets for potential future partnerships



FPIC Spectrum Activity

- The Federal I/O channels in 162-174 MHz and 406.1-420 MHz bands can be used by S/L/T Public Safety agencies to enable joint Federal/ Non-Fed interoperability. NTIA Manual 4.3.16 governs new rules that allow a simplified process to coordinate that use through the SWICs.
- An MOU between Federal Department and each State/Territory SWIC allows all S/L/T PS agency to use those channels for operating with a federal agency (no need to coordinate with every single public safety agency in the State)
- In coordination with NTIA, OEC/FPIC is drafting an MOU template reflecting NTIA Manual changes allowing S/L/T agencies to use LE/IR channels
- Draft MOU has been provided to NTIA/OSM for comment and has gone through legal review
- Draft MOU will be coordinated with Federal Spectrum Managers and NCSWIC through the FPIC Spectrum Subcommittee
- Further coordination with federal agencies and SWICs is required to identify signatories for each MOU



FPIC Spectrum Activity -cont'd

- FPIC is currently working with the FCC and NTIA to identify I/O Channels that can be used nationwide for immediate and unencumbered use. This is different from the use of the LE/IR channels mentioned in the previous slide.
- A preliminary search of existing LE/IR and AGA4 assignments identified some candidate channels
- FCC has agreed to consider pairing these channels with FCC part 90 IO channels
- Further coordination will be conducted through the FPIC Spectrum Subcommittee
- FPIC is developing strategies for identifying cross-border interoperability channels with Canada
 - Based on recent suggestions to NTIA from Region 9, the FPIC Spectrum Subcommittee also agreed to address cross-border IO channels with Mexico



Encryption Guidance Documents

- The FPIC Security Working Group has teamed with SAFECOM and NCSWIC to develop a series of documents addressing Encrypted Communications in a P25 environment
- Guidelines for Encryption in Land Mobile Radio Systems – Feb 2016 <u>www.dhs.gov/technology</u>
- Best Practices for Encryption in P25 Public Safety Land Mobile Radio – Sept 2016 www.dhs.gov/technology
- Considerations for Encryption in Public Safety Radio Systems – Sept 2016 – www.dhs.gov/tecnology





FPIC Encryption Document Status

- "Best Practices for Encryption in P25 Public Safety Land Mobile Radio Systems" and "Considerations Encryption in Public Safety Radio Systems"
 - Final Draft documents have been approved by the SAFECOM NCSWIC Technology Policy Committee and the FPIC and have been published. <u>www.safecom.gov/technology</u>.
 - Final Drafts of the associated "Fact Sheets" for decision makers are approved and have been published. <u>www.safecom.gov/technology</u>.
- "Guidelines for Encryption in Land Mobile Radio Systems"
 - Document was published in February 2016 and available on SAFEOM Web site.
 An associated Fact Sheet is in final review.
- "Operational Best Practices for P25 Public Safety Land Mobile Radio"
 - First draft (outline) will be developed by FPIC Security Working Group



Encryption Guidance

- The FPIC Security Working, in coordination with the NLECC and other public safety agencies, developed a standardized SLN assignment list for National Encrypted Interoperability (June 2015)
- This guidance is not mandatory but is important to maintaining encrypted discipline and avoiding conflicts
- The FPIC encourages PS agencies who are going to implement encryption to coordinate with NLECC SLN, Key, and KeyID assignments. A National database can be developed to avoid conflicts and improve encrypted interoperability
- It is Important to coordinate with your adjacent jurisdiction
- After the LMR sustainment survey is complete, the FPIC will attempt to populate a SLN database to avoid conflicts with neighboring jurisdiction. This information will be protected and distributed on a need-to-know basis.



Encrypted Interoperability Requires

- A desire to interoperate between agencies
- Knowledge and understanding of encryption and key management
- Coordination between agencies
- Coordination with the National Law Enforcement Communications Center (NLECC) and/or Statewide Interoperability Coordinators (SWIC) for I/OP keys
- Coordination planned through SWICs for easier access to information



Encryption Interoperability Planning

- Theoretically, different entities could have different SLNs refer to the same Traffic Encryption Keys
- Storage Location Number (SLN)
 - A common method to refer to an encryption key. In an OTAR system, each SLN contains two TEK keysets (one active/one inactive). This is a decimal value between 0 and 4095.
- Example:
 - Agency A: SLN 0001 KID: 12AF TEK:1234567890ABCDEF
 - Agency B: SLN 1234 KID: 12AF TEK:1234567890ABCDEF
 - Agency C: SLN 4095 KID: 12AF
 TEK:1234567890ABCDEF
 - These all refer to the same traffic key!
 - Even though the SLNs do not match, the radio *will* decode the audio since the KIDs and TEKs are the same

Note: Single key radios will increase the operational complexity and require additional coordination



Encryption Interoperability Planning (continued)

- Different agencies with uncoordinated SLN/KID/TEK assignments can create an interoperability nightmare
- Example:
 - Agency A: SLN 0001 KID: 0001
 - Agency B: SLN 0001 KID: 0001

TEK:ABCDEF1234567890

- TEK:1234567890ABCDEF
- Same SLN and KIDs all refer to different traffic keys!
- The radio will attempt to decode audio with unsuccessful results and *will not* interoperate
- Agency C: SLN 0001 KID: 0002 TEK:ABCDEF1234567890
 - Same TEK but the Key ID does not match. Still *will not* interoperate

Note: Multi-band environments will increase the operational complexity and require additional coordination



Contact Information

- Jim Downes (Convener)
 - DHS OEC
 - James.downes@dhs.gov
 - fpic@hq.dhs.gov
 - (703) 235-4096



Backup Slides



| SLN | Algorithm | Use | SLN Name | Crypto Period (Annual key changes are completed on the first working Monday of October) |
|-----|-----------|---|----------|---|
| 1 | DES | Public Safety Interoperable | ALL IO D | Annual |
| 2 | DES | Federal Interoperable | FED IO D | Annual |
| 3 | AES | Public Safety Interoperable | ALL IO A | Annual |
| 4 | AES | Federal Interoperable | FED IO A | Annual |
| 5 | DES | National Law Enforcement State and Local Interoperable DES | NLE IO D | Static |
| 6 | AES | National Law Enforcement State and Local Interoperable AES | NLE IO A | Static |
| 7 | AES | US – Canadian Fed Law Enforcement Interoperability | FED CAN | Static |
| 8 | AES | US – Canadian PS Interoperability | USCAN PS | Static |
| 9 | DES | National Tactical Event | NTAC D | Single Event Use – Not to exceed 30 Days |
| 10 | AES | National Tactical Event | NTAC A | Single Event Use – Not to exceed 30 Days |
| 11 | DES | Multiple Public Safety Disciplines | PS IO D | Static |
| 12 | AES | Multiple Public Safety Disciplines | PS IO A | Static |
| 13 | DES | National Fire/EMS/Rescue | NFER D | Static |
| 14 | AES | National Fire/EMS/Rescue | NFER A | Static |
| 15 | DES | National Task Force Operations | FED TF D | One time use as needed for Special OPS |
| 16 | AES | National Task Force Operations | FED TF A | One time use as needed for Special OPS |
| 17 | DES | National Law Enforcement Task Force (one time only operation) | NLE TF D | One time use as needed for Special OPS |
| 18 | AES | National Law Enforcement Task Force (one time only operation) | NLE TF A | One time use as needed for Special OPS |
| 19 | AES | Federal – International Law Enforcement Interoperability | FED INTL | When needed by operational requirement |
| 20 | AES | Public Safety – International Law Enforcement Interoperability | PS INTL | When needed by operational requirement |

Appendix A: National Reserved SLN Table (6/19/15)



FPIC P25 ISSI/CSSI Activity

- There has been increased interest in implementing the P25 Inter RF Subsystem Interface (ISSI) and the Console Subsystem Interface (CSSI) to interconnect P25 Systems
- There has been significant misunderstandings and negative reports concerning the capabilities and purpose of the ISSI and CSSI, particularly when implementing *multi-vendor* P25 ISSI and CSSI interconnections.
- While there is still significant standards activity still in progress, there
 have been a number of implementations by a number of users
- Reports varied on the success of these implementations and numerous questions came up among users



FPIC Sponsored P25 ISSI/CSSI User and Vendor Working Session

- FPIC, with support from OEC, sponsored a two-day User Working Session, hosted by Interior Department at the Denver Federal Center
- The working session provided public safety entities a forum to voice experiences and address concerns identified when implementing the P25 ISSI/CSSI between radio systems provided by different manufacturers.
- To share information relevant to implementation successes and challenges, including how these challenges were addressed, the FPIC assembled a panel of knowledgeable users experienced with the planning, implementation, and/or testing of the ISSI or CSSI interconnected between multiple vendor products.



FPIC Sponsored P25 ISSI/CSSI User and Vendor Working Session (cont'd)

- The first day was limited to government (all levels) users focused on experiences in planning and implementation, including successes and areas for additional consideration
- There were 16 invited users that made up the "core panel" and a total of 42 users participated (36 in person, 6 on conference bridge)
- There was significant sharing of information and a number of "success stories" were presented
- Successful implementations included interconnections among Motorola, Harris, EF Johnson, and Cassidian/AirBus in multiple configurations, as well as CSSI implementation with Zetron and Pantel with multiple RF Systems
- The challenges that were encountered were also provided



FPIC Sponsored P25 ISSI/CSSI User and Vendor Working Session (con't)

- The second day the users returned and representatives from Project 25 equipment manufacturers and system providers, specifically those that have ISSI/CSSI product offerings and implementation experiences.
- This was a collaborative session, attended by 14 representatives from 8 companies, that provided an opportunity for users and manufacturers to openly discuss the topics that were identified during the user session.
- There was extensive discussion concerning the sharing of information during implementations that required programming changes or standards related revisions.
- Users expressed an interest in "roaming" capabilities across an ISSI and a briefing was provided by the TIA TR8 Chair
- Compliance Testing and availability of test procedures/results was a concern that initiated extensive discussion



FPIC Sponsored P25 ISSI/CSSI User and Vendor Working Session (con't)

- The users raised concern with the field implementation and testing among different manufacturers and how potential standards revisions were documented and implemented in TR8
- There was also extensive discussion concerning how different features and services from different vendors were addressed in the ISSI
- Users and manufacturers felt a document addressing "basic interoperability features/services" should be developed by the FPIC and submitted thru the P25 UNS
- The users also expressed a need to address the number of options that are provided and identify possible solutions to minimize options that impact interoperability.
- The group agreed to form a FPIC Focus Group to address next steps
- A second meeting is tentatively planned in the Sep/Oct time frame in Dallas.







Organizations Update

University of Melbourne Centre for Disaster Management and Public Safety (CDMPS) – Geoff Springs, Representative

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.





Meeting 29 September 2016 Activities Update

Geoff Spring Senior Industry Advisor Centre for Disaster Management and Public Safety

Australian Mission Critical Public Safety Communications

Key Principles:

• Policy and Regulation Frameworks:

Agile, dynamic, informing regulatory regimes with minimal prescription, maximum certainty and clarity to drive innovation meeting needs of future users .

• <u>Stakeholder Identification:</u>

Clearly identifiable and transparently involved in policy development and regulation.

• <u>Technology</u>:

The Emergency Management Sector has to be able to identify a need for a technology capability based upon open standards, data interoperability and spatial enablement.

• Leadership:

The identification of Leaders able to achieve the significant culture change required.





Collaboration



NPSTC-CDMPS MOU executed March 2015



- P25 Steering Committee
- P25 Technology Interest Group



- Research Roadmapping
- Location Based Services
- Data Analytics
- User Interface/Experience



- Conference participation
- Emergency Services Network
- Public safety apps
- NG999
- eCall

٠



- Conference participation
- NG911
- P25



Connected vehicles



www.arcia.org.au

Australian Radio Communications Association



CommsConnect Conference Organiser



Centre for Spatial Data Infrastructure and Land Administration

Barriers to Collaboration:

- Distance
- Time



Research

Current CDMPS Ph.D. Research Projects:

- Integrated 3D Indoor and Outdoor Situational Awareness for Emergency Management.
- 3D Indoor Visualisation systems for monitoring and guiding victims and staff during an evacuation on mobile devices.
- Supporting natural disaster management utilizing real time information.
- Crowd sourced geographic information for emergency response.
- Next generation disaster management
- Optimising Diversion Costs in Road Network Recovery.
- Fire Incident Reporting Visualisation.

These Research Projects are supported by the Integrated Disaster Decision Support System (iDDSS) Platform developed by the Centre.



Informing and Influencing

Australian Government Department Discussion Papers:

Submissions made in response to Discussion Papers:

- Productivity Commission Inquiry into Public Safety Mobile Broadband Awaiting response to recommendations.
- Next Generation Triple Zero Tender deferred for two years
- SMART ICT Inquiry

Recommended that the Australian Government recognise public safety mission critical communications as "critical infrastructure and continue to support the development of these systems, including funding research, promoting implementation, and providing national coordination.

- Spectrum Review.
- Radio Communications Bill

Submissions currently being Worked on:

- Compatible Intelligent Transportation Systems (C-ITS)
- Expression of Interest for Location Based Services for Triple Zero.

CDMPS is analysing submissions to Government to clearly identify the stakeholder group



The Road Ahead 2018 - 2025







A New Business Model

Research Competitive Challenges – being used to drive innovation







www.cdmps.edu.au

MELBOURNE

SCHOOL OF

geoff.spring@unimelb.edu.au

Mob: +61411 130 184







Federal Partners Update continued

Public Safety Communications Research (PSCR) – Dereck Orr, Division Chief, NIST Public Safety Communications Research

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.



NPSTC

Break

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.




Don Root, Chair Charlie Sasser, Vice Chair

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.



- 700 MHz Deployable Trunked Solutions Report Don Root
- New Notices of Proposed Ruling Making (NPRMs) Impacting Spectrum – Don Root
 - P25 CAP; 700 MHz Vehicular Repeaters
 - Follow-up on LMCC Recommendations
- Discussion on Spectrum Access System (SAS) Don Root
- FCC Filing Activity YTD Charlie Sasser



700 MHz Deployable Trunked Solutions Report



- Report identified six deployable channels and designated two of six for control.
- FCC negotiations underway re southern border may place control channels in the "Mexico Primary" block.
- Channels for control need to be revised.
- Request Governing Board approval to develop and issue revision with NRPC.

NPSTC is a federation of organizations whose mission is to improve public safety communications and interoperability through collaborative leadership.



- Reconsideration Order and Further NPRM, PS Docket No. 13-87, released 8/22/2016
- Comments Due 10/26/2016; reply comments due 11/10/2016
 - P25 CAP compliance must now be completed before equipment is marketed or sold.
 - States may delegate administration of 700 MHz air-ground channels to 700 MHz RPCs.
 - FNPRM seeks comment on DHS P25 CAP Advisory Council list of 15 feature sets for conventional operation.
 - FNPRM also asks what 700 MHz rules need to be changed to help enable vehicular repeaters.
 - Committee held special call 9/23/16 to discuss.



- NPRM, WP Docket No. 16-261, released 8/18/2016
- Comments due 11/22/2016; reply comments due 12/22/2016
 - NPRM in response to LMCC recommendations
 - Proposes to extend conditional licensing to 700, 800 and 900 MHz bands
 - Proposes that existing licensees be allowed to apply prior to new applicants for 800 MHz band expansion and guard band channels
 - Recommend that NPSTC support both proposals
 - 700 and 800 MHz band applications would receive RPC approval before submission to FCC



- Spectrum Access System (SAS)
 - SAS has been positioned by others as a means under which different services can share the same spectrum with prioritization assigned for each service.
 - SAS is a new approach; it is being tried in the 3.5 GHz band.
 - Silicon Flatirons Center in Boulder held a recent conference on SAS with speakers from FCC, NTIA, Hill staff and industry.

Discussion: The committee seeks Governing Board input on questions important to Public Safety as NPSTC studies and evaluates SAS.

NPSTC Filings with FCC in 2016



| Date Filed | Торіс | Type of Filing |
|------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| 9/12/16 | Outage Reporting | Reply Comments |
| 8/11/16 | Noise Floor | Comments |
| 7/22/16 | 5.9 GHz DSRC | Reply Comments |
| 7/13/16 | Interference Portal | Letter |
| 7/8/16 | 4.9 GHz | Ex Parte (#2) |
| 7/7/16 | 5.9 GHz DSRC | Comments |
| 6/21/16 | Ligado 1675-1680 MHz | Comments |
| 6/3/16 | T-Band Update Report | Ex Parte |
| 5/23/16 | Ligado 1545-1555 MHz | Comments |
| 4/21/16 | 4.9 GHz | Ex Parte (#1) |
| 1/13/16 | Wireless Emergency Alerts | Comments |

NPSTC is a federation of organizations whose mission is to improve public safety communications and interoperability through collaborative leadership.





Topical Presentation

Next Generation 9-1-1 – Roger Hixson, ENP, National Emergency Number Association (NENA)

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.



NG 9-1-1 Update

NPSTC Sept 29, 2016

Roger Hixson ENP – Technical Director rhixson@nena.org

Core Purposes of NG9-1-1

Fully replace E9-1-1 while retaining the capabilities and functions in place today
Add capabilities to support changes for current and new types of Originating Service Providers
Add flexibility for PSAPs and 9-1-1 Authorities to manage calls, share costs and applications
Add capabilities to integrate and interoperate with emergency entities beyond the PSAP





NG9-1-1 Transition Steps





9-1-1 Today & Tomorrow

| 9-1-1 CAPABILITY | E9-1-1 | NG9-1-1 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Voice Calls | Yes | Yes |
| Text/Multimedia/Sensors/IoT | Νο | Yes |
| Transfer Mis-Routed Calls | Limited Capability | Yes |
| Virtual PSAPs | Limited Capability | Yes |
| Additional Data | Νο | Yes* |
| Data Sharing across regions | Νο | Yes |
| Data Sharing with Responders | Limited Capability | Yes |
| Policy Based Call Routing | Managed by E9-1-1 SSP | Managed by 9-1-1 Authority |
| Location Delivered with Calls | Νο | Yes* |
| Standard IP Interfaces | Νο | Yes |



* These services require next generation originating networks as well as Next Generation 9-1-1

NG9-1-1 DATA EVOLUTION

- Some new data will naturally come into play as new service types appear
- Each type of service may also involve additional optional data beyond what is naturally associated with that call type
- Each 9-1-1 Authority will have to decide which optional additional data is to be used in the operations for their PSAPs

And what training will be required to support it



NG9-1-1 allows for additional data to be acquired from multiple sources. Data can be used to identify initial responders for dispatch, make *immediate notification to other* agencies, provide information to help increase responder safety, and improve call processing





Examples of Additional Data include:

Caller Provided Medical Data Floor Plans Telematics Fire Control Panels Building/Fire Code Inspections Hazardous Materials Sensor Locations and Data **Historical Data** Surveillance Camera Locations Campus / Facility Layoutspter 1





Ability to transfer calls, with data, anywhere necessary

Increased interoperability between applications and organizations





Ability to share workload dynamically

Chapter 1

Ability for call takers/dispatchers to work together from different physical locations (Virtual PSAP and Responder Broadband Networks)



More options for contingency planning / disaster recovery

Ability to collaborate with regional PSAPs and Standardize Training

Chapter 1

Data Sharing & Situational



hanagement of large scale incidents

Increasing opportunities for mutual aid and

OPSAP collaboration/cooperation

Av

dat

What has to happen to realize these capabilities?

- NG9-1-1 features able to support them must be installed
- Multimedia (beyond voice) will be a progression, as the Originating Service Providers develop the capability to bring text and video through their networks to NG9-1-1
- But when it happens, it will happen fast ! Pent up demand
- NENA and Public Safety Authorities need plans and identified Transition steps to support changes when and as they occur
- Public Safety Authorities must be ready don't be the roadblock



What has happened recently?

- 2015 FCC TFOPA Report: NG9-1-1 and PSAP Optimization options and pros and cons
- 2015 thru 2016: National 9-1-1 Office develops NG9-1-1 Maturity steps for NG9-1-1 Transition
- 2016 TFOPA Task Force: Expand 2015 Report and provide planning methods at all levels of 9-1-1 Authorities, utilizes Maturity steps in an NG9-1-1 Readiness Checklist covering major facets of planning and implementation
- 2016 CSRIC WG1: Identified and evaluated options to provide caller location based routing of 9-1-1 calls



• 2014 thru 2016: Development of the National Emergency Address Database (wireless indoor location augmentation)

What has happened recently at NENA?

- Completion of NG9-1-1 Core Services Architecture v2 (i3)
- Development of NG9-1-1 RFP Guidelines
- Development of Emergency Incident Data Document (EIDD)
- Development of Monitoring and Managing NG9-1-1 Service and Systems documents
- Updating of PSAP 9-1-1 Operations Standards
- Development of NG9-1-1 System Operations Standards
- Several other Standards









Resources

- National Emergency Number Association (NENA) *Next Generation 9-1-1 Project*: Detailed information on all aspects of NG9-1-1 can be found at http://www.nena.org/ng911-project.
- National Emergency Number Association (NENA) Funding 9-1-1 Into the Next Generation: An Overview of NG9-1-1 Funding Model Options for Consideration, March 2007. <u>http://www.nena.org/sites/default/files/NGFundingReport.pdf</u>
- National Emergency Number Association (NENA) Next Generation Transition Planning Committee (NGTPC). Detailed information relating to NG9-1-1 system transition issues being developed by the NGTPC can be found at <u>http://www.nena.org/technical-committee/next-generation-transition-planning</u>
- National Emergency Number Association (NENA) *Next Generation 9-1-1 Policy Implementation Handbook* - available on the NENA website
- National Association of State 9-1-1 Administrators (NASNA) *Model State 9-1-1 Plan*, July 2008. <u>http://www.nena.org/sites/default/files/NASNA_Model%20State%209-1-1%20Plan.pdf</u>
- Texas Commission on State Emergency Communications (CSEC) Next Generation 9-1-1 Master 9-1-1
 Plan, February 2009. <u>http://www.911.state.tx.us/files/pdfs/ng911_master_plan_feb_2009.pdf</u>

Resources

- U.S. Department of Transportation, Research and Innovative Technology Administration (RITA) - Next Generation 9-1-1 Initiative: <u>http://www.its.dot.gov/ng911/ng911_pubs.htm</u>
 - Next Generation 9-1-1 System Initiative Procurement Tool Kit.
 http://www.its.dot.gov/ng911/pdf/USDOT_NG911_Procurement_ToolKit_2009.pdf
 - Next Generation 9-1-1 System Initiative Final Analysis of Cost, Value, and Risk. http://www.its.dot.gov/ng911/pdf/USDOT_NG911_4-A2 FINAL FinalCostValueRiskAnalysis v1-0.pdf
 - Next Generation 9-1-1 System Initiative Final System Design.
 <u>http://www.its.dot.gov/ng911/pdf/USDOT_NG911_FINAL_System_Design.pdf</u>
 - Next Generation 9-1-1 System Initiative Transition Plan. <u>http://www.its.dot.gov/ng911/pdf/NG911_Transition_PlanFinal.pdf</u>
 - Next Generation 9-1-1 System Initiative Proof of Concept Testing Report. http://www.its.dot.gov/ng911/pdf/NG911_POCTesTReport091708.pdf







Organizations Update continued

Project 25 Technology Interest Group (PTIG) – Steve Nichols, Director

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.



NPSTC General Meeting in Washington DC September 29, 2016

Project 25 Technology Interest Group (PTIG) Update for 2016

Presented by: Stephen Nichols, Director PTIG www.project25.org





The Latest News

P25 Foundations and P25 User Experience IWCE PPTs now available

MissionCritical Communications Publishes P25 E-Book

PTIG Publishes Updated Frequently Asked Question (FAQ) Resource v1.3

Project 25 Testing Update Report by Compliance **Testing LLC**

Project 25 Technology Interest Group Elects a New Board of Directors and Officers for 2016-2017

Upcoming Events

P25 Standards Meetings TIA TR-8 Philadelphia PA October 18 - 20, 2016

IWCE 2017 Las Vegas NV March 27 - 31, 2017



Welcome to the Project 25 **Technology Interest Group**

The Project 25 Technology Interest Group (PTIG) brings you this web site to provide information on all topics concerning Project 25.

Please register on the site for access to additional information. If you previously registered prior to June 2010, a new registration is required. This is to assure we have current and accurate information.

Registration is required to maintain a spam free site for you. No Fees are required for website registration.

PTIG MEMBERS NOTE: When your individual registration is validated for affiliation to a paid membership or a commercial member company, your registration will provide member access privileges.

Use the dialog box titled "Contact Us" on the home page for any inquiries about registration and membership.

This site is the official home of PTIG and our P25 community. Your suggestions and comments are always welcome. Use the dialog box titled "Contact Us" on the home page to make your suggestions, offer comments, or seek more information.

What is Project 25?

Why P25?

Project 25 (P25) is the standard for the design and minations products. Doubland in Marth America, radio communications in the field

Project 25 enables successful fulfillment of these factors manufacture of interoperable digital two-way wireless so critical to public safety operations and use of two-way

List of P25

Trunking Systems

P25

Frequently

Asked

Questions

P25

Standards

latest Update

P25 Capabilities

Guide

List of P25

Conventional

Systems

P25

Feature

Translator

P25

Steering Committee

Approved

List of Standards

WWW.Project25.org

March 2016



P25 Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) Update Sept 2016

- PTIG offers the FAQ tool to Public Safety and government users who need to deliver a simplified, top level, answer to typical P25 questions received from non-technologist personnel including Police Officers, Fire fighters, administrators, procurement contracting officers.
- This Updated FAQ document has 14 new questions and significant changes to P25 testing questions reflecting input from the recent Compliance Assessment Program (CAP) open meeting.
- The document has been reconfigured to categorize the questions by topic making the document easier to use for quick reference.



P25 Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) Update Sept 2016

The document has been reconfigured to categorize the questions by topic

- <u>P25 Standards Overview</u>, including a question on how the P25 Standards are created.
- <u>P25 Compliance testing</u> has been updated to reflect the latest DHS OIC Web links and document availability.
- Benefits of P25
- <u>Relationship of P25 to other radio technologies</u>
- <u>Interoperability</u> possible through the numerous Project 25 interfaces
- <u>Multi-Vendor P25 solutions</u> with real world examples of existing systems
- <u>Project 25 Security</u> Services available.



P25 Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) Update Sept 2016

Examples of P25 FAQ Questions.

- How do I find which operational requirements and features are covered in the P25 Suite of Standards?
- What is the status of the P25 Phase 2 Standard suite?
- What are RCATs ?
- A new P25 system is building out in my region. I have a P25 radio, how do I get access?
- Why do we have a P25 system but my system operator says we can only buy one brand of radio?
- Does the future FirstNet LTE broadband data network make P25 obsolete?
- What is the range comparison between P25 digital and narrowband analog?



New List of P25 Trunking and Conventional Systems, June 2016

- List of known P25 Systems in the USA, Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and the UK.
- The significant change to this update is the addition of a new list of P25 Conventional systems. <u>The P25 Conventional systems total is 1299</u>
- <u>The P25 Trunking system list has grown from 711 systems last November to 842</u> <u>systems today</u>.
- The grand total is 2141 Project 25 Systems from both lists combined.
- Both P25 systems lists are organized by state, and territory.
- Information: System name, System user type (Federal, Tribal, Public Safety, Utility, Campus Police etc.), and Frequency band.
- P25 Trunking systems are identified as P25 Phase1 or P25 Phase 2.
- P25 Conventional systems are identified as digital only or mixed mode analog and digital.



New List of P25 Trunking and Conventional Systems, June 2016

Trunking System List Format

| System Totals: P25 839 Trunking Systems P25 Phase 1: 693 systems P25 Phase 2: 146 systems | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| STATE | SYSTEM NAME | P25 | P25 | Comments | System User | Freq. Band | |
| Alabama | Alabama Regional Communications Sys | Phase 1 | | New | Public Safety | 800 | |
| | Center for Domestic Preparedness | Phase 1 | | | 1st Responders | UHF Lo | |
| | Dothan | Phase 1 | | | Public Safety | 800 | |
| | Fort Benning Military | Phase 1 | | | DOD | UHF Lo | |
| | Fort Rucker | Phase 1 | | | DOD | VHF | |
| | Gadsden & Etowah County | Phase 1 | | | Public Safety | 700 | |
| | Jefferson County | Phase 1 | | | Public Safety | 800 | |
| | Marshall Space Flight Center | Phase 1 | | | Fed Agency | UHF Lo | |
| | Maxwell Air Force Base | Phase 1 | | | DOD | UHF Lo | |
| 10 | Montgomery Metro Comm Coop District | Phase 1 | | | Public Safety | 800 | |
| | Alabama First Responder Network | | Phase 2 | | Public Safety | 700/800 | |
| | Anniston Army Depot ANAD | | Phase 2 | | Public Safety | UHF Lo | |
| 3 | Shelby County First Responders | | Phase 2 | | Public Safety | VHF | |
| | | | | | | | |



New List of P25 Trunking and Conventional Systems, June 2016

Conventional System List Format

| Florida | FL Dept. of Corrections Reg 1 | Phase 1 | Mix Mode | Corrections | 800 | |
|---------|--|---------|----------|-----------------|------------|--|
| | Region 3 | Phase 1 | Mix Mode | Corrections | 800 | |
| | Civil Air Patrol | Phase 1 | Mix Mode | САР | VHF | |
| | Customs & Border Protection | Phase 1 | | Federal | VHF | |
| | FBI | Phase 1 | | Federal | VHF | |
| | United States Coast Guard | Phase 1 | Mix Mode | Federal | VHF/UHF Lo | |
| | National Park Service | Phase 1 | | Federal | VHF | |
| | Bay County - Lynn Haven | Phase 1 | | Law Enforcement | UHF Lo | |
| | Brevard County - Port Canaveral | Phase 1 | Mix Mode | Security | VHF | |
| | Broward County - Fort Lauderdal | Phase 1 | Mix Mode | Public Safety | UHF Lo | |
| | Calhoun County | Phase 1 | Mix Mode | Public Safety | UHF Lo | |
| | St. Lucie County | Phase 1 | | College | UHF Lo | |
| | West Palm Beach | Phase 1 | Mix Mode | Law Enforcement | 800 | |
| | | | | | | |
New Documents available at www.Project25.org



New List of P25 Trunking and Conventional Systems, June 2016

Frequency Bands in Use for P25



New Documents available at www.Project25.org



New List of P25 Trunking and Conventional Systems, June 2016

User Categories for P25



Project 25 Technology Interest Group (PTIG)



Other P25 Resource Documents available at <u>www.Project25.org</u>

• P25 Capability Guide

Added Infrastructure interfaces and links to Statement of Requirements. Remains the best tool for managing P25 features and capabilities for system planning and RFP development

• P25 Standards Update Summary

Summary of the latest TIA TR-8 P25 Standards Meetings with user benefits defined

- P25 Steering Committee Approved List of Standards Updated from the most recent P25 Standards meeting
- P25 Feature Translator

link to NPSTC PAM tool

Project 25 Technology Interest Group (PTIG)



Recent PTIG P25 Whitepapers at www.Project25.org

 Now is a good time to revisit the use of P25 technologies on the Fire ground

Benefits of using P25 mission critical radio equipment include:

Improved performance in background noise, Tone Signaling – DTMF, Knox and single tone is now supported, P25 Paging receivers are now available, Improved Coverage, Enhanced Signaling, Location Services.

• Benefits of P25 (Update)

Over the years, the most often selected article on the Project 25 website, www.project25.org, is the one describing Technology Benefits of P25. This article has been recently updated to include the new wireline interfaces (ISSI, CSSI, FSI) and new operational capabilities recently added to the P25 suite of standards.

The Whitepaper covers the background and history of the P25 Standard, original goals and objectives, a summary overview of the standards and how they translate into benefits for the Public Safety community.

Project 25 Technology Interest Group (PTIG)

Other News at www.Project25.org



• Project 25 Testing Update Report by Compliance Testing LLC

The Project 25 Technology Interest Group welcomes Compliance Testing LLC as a new Commercial member of PTIG. Compliance Testing LLC has prepared a report detailing the P25 Testing Update presented by DHS OIC and the Compliance Assessment Program Advisory Panel at their open meeting held during the APCO 2016 conference in Orlando.

 Project 25 Technology Interest Group Elects a New Board of Directors and Officers for 2016-2017

The Project 25 Technology Interest Group (PTIG) held it's Annual Meeting on August 14th. At that meeting, a Board of Directors and Officers were elected. A number of new Board members were elected from the Public Safety Radio User Community. These include: Christie Bielby-Texas DPS, Scott Wright-State of Connecticut, Brent Finster-University of Hawaii . Todd Perdieu from Harris was elected as a new Commercial Board member

• P25 Standards Meeting TIA/TR-8 Summary, June 2016

The Project 25 Technology interest Group (PTIG) has published the latest summary of P25 Standards Meetings proceedings from the June Meetings held in Kansas City. This document highlights TR-8 accomplishments and work in progress for 2016. The document is updated after every TR-8 face to face meeting occurring in 2016.





Steve Nichols, Director

Project 25 Technology Interest Group

director@project25.org





Administrative Discussion

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

Administrative Discussion



- Future Meetings
 - Teleconference Tuesday, January 24, 2017
 - IWCE Friday, March 31, 2017





Executive Level IV Session

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.



Executive Level IV Session

- LEVEL IV
 - NPSTC Chair
 - NPSTC Vice Chairs
 - NPSTC Executive Director
 - NPSTC Deputy Executive Director
 - Committee Chairs
 - Committee Vice Chairs
 - Voting Organization Representatives and Alternates
 - Associate Representatives





Adjourn

The member organizations of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council are grateful to the Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) and the National Protection and Programs Directorate, Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) Points of view or opinions expressed are those of the originators and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.